

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/613,877	EROZ ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Harry Vartanian	2634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Harry Vartanian.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Phouphanomketh Ditthavong(Reg# 44658) .

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 April 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4, 11, 13, 19, and 21


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed potential double patenting issues with Claim 1 in 10/613/877 and Claim 17 in application 10/930298. Corrected antecedent basis issues.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)